



Individual Juror's Protocol

Juror's name _____ Date _____ SF _____ Group _____

Overview of Science Fight			
	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III
Problem in the Stage	Accepted:	Accepted:	Accepted:
	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:	Rejected, if any:
Fill below the names of Teams and Presenters, and any Yellow Cards announced by Chairperson (NR, NP, or NT)			
Reporter			
Opponent			
Reviewer			

Criteria for Partial Grades

	for Reporter	Range	for Opponent	Range	for Reviewer	Range
α	Essence of the solution. Results. Scientific methods. Vision of scientific context. Correctness. Justified conclusions. <i>Main tasks:</i> novel ideas, depth. <i>Inv Yourself:</i> problem statement. <i>Additional:</i> team work, resourcefulness	-6...+6	Objective critique. Skeptical inquiry. Relevant, nontrivial challenging questions. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Conclusions, suggestions	-4...+4	Impartial, independent, weighted review. Relevant, nontrivial clarifying questions. Justified opinions. Understanding of scientific context. Own conclusions. Summary	-2...+2
β	Persuasive and structured speech and presentation	-3...+3	Persuasive, structured speech and presentation	-2...+2	Persuasive, structured speech and presentation	-1...+1
γ	Defending own solution. Clear argumentation in the discussion	-3...+3	Active, polite discussion and argumentation	-2...+2	Short and critical synopsis of the Stage	-1...+1
δ	Technicalities, visual aids, demonstrations, team help	-2...+3	Technicalities, visual aids to Opposition, team help	-1...+2	Technicalities, visual aids to Review, team help	-0...+1

Juror's Grades									
	Stage I			Stage II			Stage III		
	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer	Reporter	Opponent	Reviewer
Starting	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
α									
β									
γ									
δ									
Sum up the Starting Grade (15, 10, or 5) with all four Partial Grades, and write below your resulting Juror's Grade									
Juror's Grade									
Juror's signature									